The role of binarity in [VR] central stars of PNe

Brent Miszalski SALT Astronomer brent@saao.ac.za http://miszalski.saao.ac.za

S·A:L/T



South African Astronomical Observatory

Tarsocera namaquensis



Outline



- Close binaries in PNe
- Close binary [WR] central stars?
- Wider binaries and dust
- Perspectives

Close binaries

Close binary central stars

- Currently know of > 40 close binaries (~I in 5 PNe)
- Orbital periods ~0.1-1.0 day (post common-envelope)
- Most common: WD + MS, but WD + WD also found
- Majority found via (biased) photometric monitoring (irradiation effect, ellipsoidal variation or eclipses)
- RV monitoring best suited to finding WD+WD (often not phot. variable)
- Specific nebular features appear to be strongly tied to binarity
- Can use these trends to guide surveys looking for more precious examples



The OGLE-III sample

Major result => ~1 in 5 (20%) of PNe Miszalski et al. 2008 have close binary central stars Miszalski et al. 2009a



Agrees with ~10% estimated by Bond (2000) based on a smaller sample



Morphological descriptors of binarity

Miszalski et al. 2009b

- Jets (polar outflows)
 - Mostly ejected before main nebula
 - Accretion disk around companion
 - Precession produces S-shaped jets
- Low-ionisation structures
 - Filaments and rings
- Bipolar nebulae (weak dependence)





Fleming I



Boffin, Miszalski+ 2012, Science

Jets long assumed to be binary driven!



Boffin, Miszalski+2012, Science



Close binary [VVR] central stars?

Variability

- At least 37 H-deficient stars [WC], PGII59 and O(He) types - were monitored photometrically by Ciardullo & Bond 1996 and Gonzalez-Perez+ 2006
 - Non-radial pulsations, but not strong variability due to binarity
- RV monitoring of some brightest objects (e.g. NGC40) - Grosdidier+ 2000, 2001
 - Turbulent outflows, no apparent periodic motion due to binarity
- Hajduk+2010 photometric (periodic) and spectral variability in [WC7]
 PHR0654-1045 - more obs needed

Ciardullo & Bond 1996



NGC 1501 CCD Light Curves 1987-1990

Grosdidier+ 2001



A new approach

- Miszalski+ 2009b noted that many nebulae around [WO] CSPNe are dominated by low ionisation structures and jets => likely binaries
- Missing 5-7 binaries (!) from H-def sample monitored photometrically if 1/5 is binary
 - Irradiation effect amplitudes would be very large, easily noticed, given high primary Teff (≥ 100 kK)
 - Companions must be evolved WD or O/B subdwarfs
 can only use RV monitoring (Fleming I!)
- PN community have done little RV monitoring, perhaps suspected [WR] too windy to give any useful results

A new approach



Foellmi, Moffat & Guerrero 2003a,b Cross-correlation routinely works for massive WR stars

- No reason to expect it won't for [WR] central stars!!!!
- The late Olivier Chesneau inspired us to give it a go during 2013 Nova conference in Cape Town
- RV monitoring of several bright [WR] with SAAO 1.9-m
- SALT RSS monitoring of several fainter [WR] stars
 - PHR0654-1045 and NGC5189 + others ongoing

First results

- Published first results in Manick, Miszalski
 & McBride 2015, MNRAS
- SAAO/UCT MSc thesis of Rajeev Manick (June 2014) - now PhD student @ Leuven
- Aim for S/N > 40 in continuum
- Data analysis: cross correlation following Foellmi, Moffat & Guerrero 2003
- Currently expanding the sample with SALT
- Overall aim: Keplerian masses and radii for [WR] stars to better understand the formation of all observed types









Non-variables

Object	V (mag)	Туре	Epochs	Telescope
NGC 5315	14.4	[WC4]	17	SALT *
Hen 2-99	13.3	[WC9]	15	SALT *
PMR 2	13.3	[WC9/10]	7	I.9m
Hen 2-113	11.9	[WCI0]	12	I.9m
Hen 3-1333	10.9	[WCI0]	7	I.9m











*also observed with SAAO 1.9m (see Manick+2015)



NGC5189

Precessing outflows Low ionisation filaments Jets - best candidate!

Sabin+2012

NGC5189

Precessing outflows Low ionisation filaments Jets - best candidate!

3.7d < Porb < 5.2 d Phillips & Reay (1983)

condensations. condensations

Fig. 6. Schematic of NGC 5189 showing positions of pairs of indicate relative sizes of circles indicate relative sizes of pairs of sizes of sizes of sizes of the sizes of the

Sabin+2012

Representative spectrum; S/N range of all spectra: 45-90



P=4.04±0.10 d

Assuming [WOI]=0.6 Msun

Inclination (degrees)	Secondary Mass (Msun)	
30	I.56	
40	0.99	
50	0.74	
60	0.61	
70	0.54	
80	0.51	



Manick, Miszalski & McBride 2015

If you're not convinced...





Stellar OVI

if you're STILL not convinced...

Fit with more data - 26 total SALT RSS spectra







Figure 8. Helium II lines.

14 SALT RSS spectra, 2 Å res (FWHM)







P=1.26 days

Phase

Assuming [WC7]=0.6 Msun				
Inclination (degrees)	Secondary Mass (Msun)			
30	>6.0			
40	4.1±0.50			
50	2.7±0.30			
60	2.2±0.20			
70	I.8±0.07			
80	I.7±0.03			

Companion mass



Over-estimated amplitude? Crosscorrelation gremlins due to template?

Todo: full RV + lightcurve model



Another [WR] or O/B subdwarf?

Wider binaries and dust

Barium central stars

Bond+2003

Graham +2004Van Winckel +2014



Miszalski+2013 Miszalski+2012

Barium central stars

Graham +2004 Van Winckel +2014

RV orbit in progress (Van Winckel talk on Wednesday)



Miszalski+2013 Miszalski+2012

Bond+2003 Siegel+2012 WD companion detected in UV for WeBol and A70

Wider binaries with [WR]?

- No wide [WR] binaries proven yet
- NGC 246 (PG1159) visual binary? (Ciardullo+1999)
- Hen 3-1333/CPD-56° 8032 [WC10]
 - Dust disk detected by STIS (De Marco+2002) and VLTI (Chesneau+ 2006)
 - Post-AGB disks strongly tied to binarity (Van Winckel+ 2009)
 - Dual dust chemistry (C and O dust), also found in numerous [WR]
 - Quasi-periodic dust obscuration events (every ~5 yrs)



Not close binaries



Manick, Miszalski & McBride 2015

MJD-56000 (Days)

Perspectives



Atmospheric Composition



- RV survey motivated by He-rich (>90%) [WN] stars
- The LTP/VLTP/AFTP scenarios cannot explain [WN] composition => binary merger? (Zhang & Jeffery 2012)
- As [WC] stars can be in binaries, then [WN] may not necessarily result from mergers (if similar formation)
- Two post-AGB channels: C-rich [WC] \rightarrow PG1159 and Herich [WN] \rightarrow O(He) (Miszalski+2012)
- Binary statistics crucial to help understand if either channel depends on binaries to form (or not)
 - H-envelope stripped by companion? Or not important?
 - Develop binary formation scenarios (De Marco & Soker) 2002)

Perspectives

- Larger 4.05 d orbit of NGC5189 suggests [WR] companions could have wider orbits than most post-CE binaries (several days - months) => more space needed for larger [WR] radii?
- These wider orbits can only be reliably found with RV monitoring, even if in orbits of a few days!
- PGII59 stars should also have (wideish) companions
 - Only ONE post-CE PG1159: Nagel+ 2006; Schuh+2009



Looking forward

- Need more binaries to further develop formation scenarios (e.g. De Marco & Soker 2002)
- Target [WN] stars for RV monitoring
- Linear polarimetry to get inclinations of [WR] binaries (?)
- RV+lightcurve modelling of [WC7] binary PHR0654-1045
- Echelle RV monitoring of brightest [WR]
 - Search for wide binaries (Porb=several 100-1000 days)
 - Connect dust disks, dual-dust chemistry and dust obscuration events with binarity
- A simple common formation scenario for [WR] and non-[WR] PNe?

Thank you!

It's possible to prove a binary, but it's much harder to disprove (at all separations and companion types)

even worse for a merger...